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Stream denitrification across biomes and its response to anthropogenic nitrate loading


Anthropogenic addition of bioavailable nitrogen to the biosphere is increasing1,2 and terrestrial ecosystems are becoming increasingly nitrogen-saturated3, causing more bioavailable nitrogen to enter groundwater and surface waters4. Large-scale nitrate budgets show that an average of about 20–25 per cent of the nitrogen added to the biosphere is exported from rivers to the ocean or inland basins2,4, indicating that substantial sinks for nitrogen must exist in the landscape5. Streams and rivers may themselves be important sinks for bioavailable nitrogen owing to their hydrological connections with terrestrial systems, high rates of biological activity, and streamed sediment environments that favour microbial denitrification6,7,10. Here we present data from nitrogen stable isotope tracer experiments across 72 streams and 8 regions representing several biomes. We show that total biotic uptake and denitrification of nitrate increase with stream nitrate concentration, but that the efficiency of biotic uptake and denitrification declines as concentration increases, reducing the proportion of in-stream nitrate that is removed from transport. Our data suggest that the total uptake of nitrate is related to ecosystem photosynthesis and that denitrification is related to ecosystem respiration. In addition, we use a stream network model to demonstrate that excess nitrate in streams elicits a disproportionate increase in the fraction of nitrate that is exported to receiving waters and reduces the relative role of small versus large streams as nitrate sinks.

Biotic nitrogen uptake and denitrification account for nitrogen removal in streams, but a broad synthesis of their relative importance is lacking, in part because of the difficulty of measuring denitrification in situ and the lack of comparable data for streams across biomes and land-use conditions. The second Lotic Intersite Nitrogen Experiment (LINX II), a series of 15N tracer additions to 72 streams across multiple biomes and land uses in the conterminous United States and Puerto Rico, provides replicated, in situ measurements of total nitrate (NO3−) uptake and denitrification. This new data set expands more than tenfold the number and type of streams for which we have reach-scale measurements of denitrification, the primary mechanism by which bioavailable nitrogen is permanently removed from ecosystems.

Streams were small (discharge: 0.2 to 2681 s−1; median: 18.51 s−1) but spanned a wide range of NO3− concentration (0.0001 to 21.2 mg NO3− L−1; median: 0.10 mg NO3− L−1) and other environmental conditions such as water velocity, depth and temperature (Supplementary Table 1). Concentrations of NO3− were significantly greater in ‘agricultural’ and ‘urban’ streams than in ‘reference’ streams (Fig. 1a), despite substantial variation in the adjacent land use and in-stream conditions within each of these land-use categories.

Figure 1 | Observed stream NO3− metrics by adjacent land use. a, Streamwater NO3− concentration. b, Total biotic NO3− uptake rate per unit area of streambed (U). Box plots display 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th and 90th percentiles, and individual data points outside the 10th and 90th percentiles. Land use had a significant effect on NO3− concentration (P = 0.0055) and U (P = 0.0013) (Kruskal–Wallis test); horizontal bars above plots denote significant differences determined by pairwise comparisons among land-use categories with Bonferroni correction (α = 0.05).
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Areal rate of total NO$_3^-$ uptake ($U$, mass of NO$_3^-$ removed from water per unit area of streambed per unit time) also was greater in agricultural and urban streams (Fig. 1b), suggesting that higher NO$_3^-$ concentration stimulates uptake in these streams. Total uptake velocity of NO$_3^-$ ($v_t$, analogous to the average downward velocity at which NO$_3^-$ ions are removed from water, and a measure of uptake efficiency relative to availability) was unrelated to land-use category but declined exponentially with increasing NO$_3^-$ concentration (Fig. 2a). Thus, although excess NO$_3^-$ increased uptake rate per area of streambed, streams became less efficient at removing NO$_3^-$, indicating that uptake does not increase in parallel with NO$_3^-$ concentration. The value of $v_t$ also increased with increasing gross primary production rate ($r^2 = 0.204, P < 0.0001$), revealing the importance of stream photoautotrophs in NO$_3^-$ removal. Although other research has documented the separate influence of NO$_3^-$ concentration and gross primary production rate on $v_t$ within a particular biome, our data reveal their combined influence on NO$_3^-$ removal efficiency, and demonstrate that the loss of efficiency holds across nearly six orders of magnitude in NO$_3^-$ concentration and eight different regions representing several different biomes.

A portion of total NO$_3^-$ uptake in streams can be attributed to denitrification, a microbial process occurring mostly in anoxic zones in the streambed that converts NO$_3^-$ to gaseous forms of nitrogen that are lost to the atmosphere. Our $^{15}$N-tracer approach allowed us to directly quantify uptake velocity resulting from denitrification of streamwater NO$_3^-$ ($v_{iden}$). The remainder of total NO$_3^-$ uptake represents biotic assimilation and storage in organic (usually particulate) form on the streambed. Some portion of stored nitrogen may be subsequently denitrified via tight spatial coupling of mineralization, nitrification and denitrification in sediments ('coupled denitrification'), which can be important in aquatic systems with NO$_3^-$ concentrations below $\sim$300 µg N l$^{-1}$ (ref. 10). Thus, $v_t$ describes the upper limit and $v_{iden}$ describes the lower limit on rates of biotic NO$_3^-$ removal from stream water.

Like $v_t$, $v_{iden}$ declined exponentially as NO$_3^-$ concentration increased (Fig. 2b), indicating reduced NO$_3^-$ removal efficiency via denitrification with increasing NO$_3^-$ concentration. It also increased with increasing ecosystem respiration rate ($r^2 = 0.318, P < 0.0001$), possibly because aerobic respiration (that is, ecosystem respiration rate) lowers dissolved oxygen concentration and increases metabolic demand for alternative electron acceptors such as NO$_3^-$. In addition, ecosystem respiration is likely to be a good surrogate for the availability of labile organic carbon to fuel denitrification. The denitrification fraction of total NO$_3^-$ uptake (ratio of $v_{iden}$ to $v_t$) was highly variable across streams and was unrelated to land use (Fig. 3a), but was positively correlated with ecosystem respiration rate ($r = 0.40, P = 0.005$), further supporting the hypothesis that heterotrophic metabolism promotes denitrification.

Denitrification accounted for a median of 16% of total NO$_3^-$ uptake across all streams, and exceeded 43% of total uptake in a quarter of our streams. These values are conservative, however, because our measurement method does not account for delayed, coupled denitrification that may occur after NO$_3^-$ is assimilated by biota and remineralized in sediments.

Areal denitrification rate ($U_{den}$), a measure commonly reported in denitrification studies, was greatest in urban streams (Fig. 3b), probably because of high NO$_3^-$ concentration (Fig. 1a). Although our measurements of $U_{den}$ fall within the range observed for other aquatic systems, they are lower than other published values for rivers (Fig. 3b), possibly because they do not include coupled denitrification in sediments. However, our measurements of in situ, reach-scale denitrification may be more representative of stream ecosystem denitrification than the more commonly used acetylene-block technique in sediment cores.

In stream networks, any NO$_3^-$ not removed within a reach passes to the next reach downstream, where it may be subsequently removed. Stream size influences this serial processing in several ways. Small streams can remove NO$_3^-$ efficiently (because of their high ratios of streambed area to water volume) and have a cumulative influence on whole-network removal because they account for most of the stream length within a network. By contrast, larger streams are effective NO$_3^-$ sinks owing to longer transport distances and therefore longer water residence times combined with higher nitrogen availability.

We developed a stream network model of NO$_3^-$ removal, incorporating downstream NO$_3^-$ transport through streams of increasing size and using removal rates that varied with NO$_3^-$ concentration.
(Fig. 2). We used \( \nu_l \) and \( \nu_{\text{den}} \) respectively, to model the upper and lower limits on NO\(_3^-\) removal. Because our empirically derived rates of denitrification are apt to be conservative (for example, Fig. 3b), so too are the magnitudes of whole-network denitrification predicted by our model. Regardless, the model shows that NO\(_3^-\) loading rates may markedly influence the importance of streams as landscape nitrogen sinks. For instance, higher loading rates stimulate NO\(_3^-\) uptake and denitrification, but yield an associated disproportionate increase in downstream NO\(_3^-\) export to receiving waters (Fig. 4a) as NO\(_3^-\) removal efficiency declines (Fig. 4b). The loss of removal efficiency is not addressed by models where \( \nu_l \) is independent of NO\(_3^-\) concentration\(^{22}\), which may yield overly optimistic projections of stream network NO\(_3^-\) removal under increasing loading rates (Fig. 4b).

Small and large streams responded differently to simulated increases in NO\(_3^-\) loading. The simulated percentage of network NO\(_3^-\) load removed in small streams declined as loading increased (Fig. 4c). Unexpectedly, in large streams, simulated percentage removal peaked after NO\(_3^-\) loading began to rise, owing to the interaction of two dynamics. Left of the peak, high removal efficiency in small streams yields little downstream NO\(_3^-\) transport from small to large streams (Fig. 4a), and therefore, little NO\(_3^-\) available for removal in large streams. Thus, percentage removal in large streams increases with NO\(_3^-\) loading as downstream transport of NO\(_3^-\) increases and large streams are released from NO\(_3^-\) limitation. Right of the peak, NO\(_3^-\) concentrations in large streams increase to the point where removal efficiency in large streams is lost, and the percentage removal in large streams decreases.

Our modelling results suggest three phases of nitrogen dynamics in stream networks as land-use intensity increases. First, at low nitrogen loading rates, biotic nitrogen removal is high and occurs primarily in smaller streams; removal in larger streams is limited by nitrogen availability. Second, at moderate loading rates, removal efficiency in smaller streams decreases; however, removal in larger streams responds, limiting nitrogen export. Third, at high loading rates, removal becomes ineffective across all stream sizes and the stream network exports virtually all catchment-derived nitrogen. Interestingly, direct anthropogenic NO\(_3^-\) loading to large streams (for example, municipal wastewater plants) circumvents the stream network, and therefore may increase the relative role of large versus small streams in network NO\(_3^-\) removal. Thus, both small and large streams can be important locations for nitrogen removal, although their relative roles are influenced by uptake efficiency in small streams (which determines downstream transport to large streams) and by the spatial pattern of NO\(_3^-\) loading to the stream network.

Across biomes, our empirical data show that NO\(_3^-\) removal efficiency decreases and downstream export to receiving water bodies increases as NO\(_3^-\) concentration increases. Our modelling expands this finding to explain the response of stream networks to land-use intensity increases. Although our replicated inter-biome experiments add substantial insight to NO\(_3^-\) dynamics in streams, we do not address some important considerations (see ‘Study Limitations’ in Supplementary Information) such as the ultimate fate of nitrogen removed from stream water but not immediately denitrified, variation in removal rates with season and stream discharge, the influence of off-channel and subsurface hydrology associated with floodplains and hyporheic flow paths, and the need for in situ empirical observations of nitrogen removal in large streams. These uncertainties prevent comparison of results from short-term, in situ experiments with annual stream network nitrogen budgets\(^{7,9,19}\) and therefore represent critical research needs.

Our findings underscore the management imperative of controlling nitrogen loading to streams and protecting or restoring stream ecosystems to maintain or enhance their nitrogen removal functions. Controlling loading to streams and stream nitrogen export is a proven solution to eutrophication and hypoxia problems in downstream inland and coastal waters\(^{23}\). Our findings suggest caution before implementing policies (for example, reliance on intensive agriculture for biofuels production\(^{24}\)) that may yield massive land conversions and higher nitrogen loads to streams. Associated increases in streamwater NO\(_3^-\) concentration may reduce the efficacy of streams as nitrogen sinks, yielding synergistic increases in downstream transport to estuaries and coastal oceans\(^{25–27} \).
METHODS SUMMARY

We added tracer $^{15}$NO$_3^-$ using standardized protocols to 72 streams across the contiguous United States and Puerto Rico. Within each of eight regions (Supplementary Fig. 1), three streams were bordered by agricultural lands, three by urban areas, and three by extant vegetation typical of the biome (‘reference streams’) providing a broad array of stream conditions and land-use intensities. We performed these tracer additions on one date in each stream, generally during the spring or summer. We measured NO$_3^-$ uptake rates for entire stream reaches from measurements of tracer $^{15}$N in NO$_3^-$, N$_2$ and N$_2$O downstream from the isotope addition based on the nutrient spiralling approach$^{13,24,29}$ and a model of denitrification$^{30}$. Our model of NO$_3^-$ removal from water across a stream network accounted for network topology and downstream changes in channel geometry and discharge. We implemented the model using the topology of a fifth-order stream network, the Little Tennessee River in North Carolina, USA. Simulations included increasing NO$_3^-$ loading rates from the catchment to the network from 0.0001 to 100 kg N km$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ (yielding input NO$_3^-$ concentrations from 0.15 µg N l$^{-1}$ to 150 mg N l$^{-1}$). For each NO$_3^-$ loading rate, we conducted model runs using the median observed $\eta_t$ and allowing $\eta_t$ to vary with predicted in-stream NO$_3^-$ concentration according to the observed relationship between $\eta_t$ and NO$_3^-$ concentration (Fig. 2a). These simulations were repeated using the median observed $\eta_t$ and the median NO$_3^-$ concentration relationship (Fig. 2b). Therefore, model simulations bracket the range of potential network NO$_3^-$ removal ($\eta_{\min}$ and $\eta_{\max}$, represent upper and lower limits, respectively). To investigate the importance of stream size on network NO$_3^-$ removal, we categorized streams as either ‘small’ (<100 km$^{-1}$, typical of first- and second-order streams) or ‘large’ (100–6,300 km$^{-1}$, typical of third- to fifth-order streams).

Full Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.
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METHODS

The second Lotic Intersite Nitrogen Experiment (LINX II) consisted of a series of \(^{15}\)N tracer additions to streams across multiple biomes and land use conditions in the United States and Puerto Rico to provide \textit{in situ}, reach-scale measurements of total nitrate (NO\(_3^-\)) uptake and denitrification. Identical protocols were followed at all sites for experimental design and measurement of NO\(_3^-\), uptake and denitrification rates, hydraulic and other physical parameters, nutrients, reach-scale rates of metabolism, biomass in various compartments, and stable isotope ratios. We generally followed the methods outlined in a prior \(^{15}\)N-NO\(_3^-\) addition study in Walker Branch, Tennessee\(^\text{26}\). Detailed sampling, sample processing and analysis protocols for the LINX II study are available at the project website (http://www.biol.vt.edu/faculty/webster/linx/). Selection of study streams, including location and environmental conditions, is presented in Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table 1.

**Isotope additions.** We continuously added a K\(^{15}\)NO\(_3^-\) (\(\geq 98\%\) \(^{15}\)N solution to each stream over a 24-h period using a peristaltic or fluid metering pump. The isotope addition was designed to achieve a 20-fold increase in the \(^{15}\)N:\(^{14}\)N ratio of streamwater NO\(_3^-\). This level of isotope addition resulted in a small (\(\approx 7.5\%\)) increase in the concentration of NO\(_3^-\) in stream water. We added NaCl or NaBr to the isotope solution as a conservative tracer to account for downstream dilution due to groundwater input and to measure water velocity and channel hydraulic properties. The isotope additions were started at \(\approx 13:00\) local time in each stream. Within 1 day of the isotope additions we conducted propane or SF\(_6\) injections to measure air–water gas exchange rates.

**Stream sampling and isotope analysis.** Stream reaches of 105 to 1,830 m (reach length was dependent on stream size) were sampled at six to ten locations downstream from the isotope addition. We measured tracer \(^{15}\)N flux in NO\(_3^-\), NO\(_2^-\) and N\(_2\)O downstream from the addition point after downstream concentrations reached steady state. Samples for \(^{15}\)N were collected once several hours before (to determine natural abundance \(^{15}\)N levels) and twice after the isotope addition commenced: at \(\approx 12\) h (near midnight) and \(\approx 23\) h (near noon). We determined \(^{15}\)N:NO\(_3^-\) on filtered samples using a sequential reduction and diffusion method\(^\text{27}\). Samples were analysed for \(^{15}\)N on either a Finnigan Delta-S or a Europa 20/20 mass spectrometer in the Mass Spectrometer Laboratory of the Marine Biological Laboratory in Woods Hole, MA (http://ecosystems.mbl.edu/ SILAB/aboutlab.html), a Europa Integra mass spectrometer in the Stable Isotope Laboratory of the University of California, Davis (http://stablesisotopefacility.ucdavis.edu/) or a ThermoFinnigan DeltaPlus mass spectrometer in the Stable Isotope Laboratory at Kansas State University (http://www.k-state.edu/simsl). Water samples for \(^{15}\)N-N\(_2\) and \(^{15}\)N-N\(_2\)O were collected at each sampling location, equilibrated with helium in 60- or 140-ml syringes, and injected into evacuated vials using underwater transfers of sample and gas to reduce the potential for any air contamination\(^\text{27}\). Gas samples were analysed for \(^{15}\)N by mass spectrometry either using a Europa Hydra Model 20/20 mass spectrometer at the Stable Isotope Laboratory of the University of California, Davis, or a GV Instruments Prism Series II mass spectrometer in the Biogeochemistry Laboratory, Department of Zoology, Michigan State University. The \(^{15}\)N content of all samples was reported in \(\delta^{15}\)N notation where \(\delta^{15}\)N = \([\text{R}_{\text{sample}}/\text{R}_{\text{standard}}]–1\) \(\times 1,000\), \(\text{R} = ^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}\), and the results are expressed as permil (\(\%\)) deviation of the sample from the standard N\(_2\) in the atmosphere \(\delta^{15}\)N = 0\(\%\)). All \(\delta^{15}\)N values were converted to mole fractions (MF) of \(^{15}\)N\((^{15}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N} + ^{14}\text{N})\), and tracer \(^{15}\)N fluxes were calculated for each sample by multiplying the \(^{15}\)N mole fractions, corrected for natural abundances of \(^{15}\)N by subtracting the average \(^{15}\)N mole fractions for samples collected before the \(^{15}\)N addition, by the concentrations of NO\(_3^-\), N\(_2\)O, or N\(_2\)O in stream water (concentrations of NO\(_3^-\) and N\(_2\)O were measured, whereas N\(_2\)O was taken as the concentration in equilibrium with air at the ambient stream temperature), and stream discharge derived from the measured conservative solute tracer concentrations.

**NO\(_3^-\) uptake and denitrification rates.** We measured NO\(_3^-\) uptake rates for entire stream reaches based on the nutrient spiralling approach\(^\text{28,29}\) and calculated several metrics describing NO\(_3^-\) uptake, including uptake length, uptake velocity and aerial uptake rate\(^\text{22}\). Details are provided in the Supplementary Information.

**Statistical analysis.** To improve normality before parametric statistical analysis all NO\(_3^-\) uptake parameters and other variables were log-transformed, with the exception that denitrification fraction was arcsine-square root transformed. Effect of land-use type was determined using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and non-parametric tests on untransformed data. All statistical tests were performed using SAS\(^\text{®}\), Version 9.1 for Windows (SAS Institute, Inc.).

**Stream network model.** We developed a simulation model of NO\(_3^-\) loading, transport, and biotic uptake within stream networks, and used the model to investigate how NO\(_3^-\) removal in stream networks responds to increased loading. The model routes NO\(_3^-\) and water from the landscape and through a stream network, and biological uptake removes NO\(_3^-\) from the stream water in each reach. Details of model structure and parameterization are presented in the Supplementary Information.

**Model runs.** The model was implemented for 28 different NO\(_3^-\) loading rates to streams under four different \(v_l\) scenarios, for a total of 112 model runs. Water yield per unit catchment area was constant for the stream network across all NO\(_3^-\) loading rates and \(v_l\) scenarios. Nitrate loading rate to streams (and, because the water yield was constant, the incoming NO\(_3^-\) concentration) was constant across the stream network for each model simulation. Model simulations included systematically increasing NO\(_3^-\) loading rates from the catchment to the stream network from 0.0001 to 100 kg N km\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (yielding input NO\(_3^-\) concentrations ranging from 0.15 µg N l\(^{-1}\) to 150 mg N l\(^{-1}\)). For each NO\(_3^-\) loading rate, we conducted model runs using a constant \(v_l\) (median observed value) and allowing \(v_l\) to vary with predicted in-stream NO\(_3^-\) concentration according to the observed relationship between \(v_l\) and NO\(_3^-\) concentration. These simulations were repeated for \(v_{\text{fdn}}\) (see main text and Supplementary Table 3).

To investigate the relative importance of stream size on NO\(_3^-\) removal, we categorized stream reaches as either ‘small’ (<1000 l s\(^{-1}\), typical of first- and second-order streams) or ‘large’ (100–6,300 l s\(^{-1}\), typical of third- to fifth-order streams). Small streams account for 77% of stream length and 50% of streamed surface area across the stream network (see Supplementary Fig. 3). Because we arbitrarily defined distribution of streamed area among ‘small’ and ‘large’ categories, the magnitude of NO\(_3^-\) removal in small versus large streams (Fig. 4c) is also arbitrary and we focused our analysis on the relative change in the ratio as NO\(_3^-\) loading increases.